

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/749,806 12/31/2003		Masahito Watanabe	60542(49811)	6518
21874	7590 12/07/2006		EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			SHIAO, REI TSANG	
P.O. BOX 55 BOSTON, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1626	
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/74	9,806	WATANABE ET	WATANABE ET AL. Art Unit			
		Exami	iner	Art Unit				
		Rober	t Shiao	1626				
The N	NAILING DATE of this commun				ddress			
Period for Reply	/							
WHICHEVER - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) MC - If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply receive	IED STATUTORY PERIOD F R IS LONGER, FROM THE M me may be available under the provisions DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm reply is specified above, the maximum st within the set or extended period for reply yed by the Office later than three months a erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n nunication. latutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) May a application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Respon	nsive to communication(s) file	nd on 20 Octobor (2006					
		2b)⊠ This action i						
· <u> </u>	his application is in condition	• -		atters incosecution as to th	na marite ie			
	in accordance with the practi		-	•	ie ments is			
•		oo anaor Ex parto	<i>Quayro</i> , 1000 0	.D. 11, 400 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of C	Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of t	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(:	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s	s) is/are objected to.			•				
8) Claim(s	s) are subject to restric	tion and/or electio	n requirement.		·			
Application Pap	ers	•						
,		- F						
	ecification is objected to by the		. 5. \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \					
	wing(s) filed on is/are:		•					
	nt may not request that any object							
	ement drawing sheet(s) including							
ii) ine oat	h or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknow	ledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)	•			
	b) Some * c) None of:	,		3	•			
·	Certified copies of the priority	documents have t	neen received					
	Certified copies of the priority			Application No.				
	Copies of the certified copies				l Stone			
	pplication from the Internatio			arreceived in this Nationa	i Stage			
	attached detailed Office action	•		at received				
330 tile (in tor a list of the ci	cranea copies 110	A ICOGIVEU.				
Attachment(s)			_					
	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO 040		Summary (PTO-413)				
3) Information Dis	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date <u>09/09/04, 12/31/03</u> .		6) Other:		•			

Application/Control Number: 10/749,806

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This application claims benefit of the foreign applications:

JAPAN 2003-9786 with a filing date 01/17/2003; and

JAPAN 2003-71368 with a filing date 03/17/2003.

2. Claims 1-9 are pending in the application.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statements, filed on September 09, 2004, and December 31, 2003, have been considered. Please refer to Applicant's copies of the 1449's submitted herein.

Responses to Election/Restriction

4. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I claims 1-9, in part, in the reply filed on October 30, 2006, is acknowledged. Applicants also elect the following species: compound (A) is cyclopentanone; compound (B) is dimethyl malonate; compound (C) is (R)-3-[bis(methoxycarbonyl)methyl]cyclopentanone; and compound (D) is N-methane-sulfonyl-1,2-diphenylethylenediamine. The traversal is on the grounds that applicants submit that no unreasonable burden is incurred by search of the claimed subject matter with alternate scope of products than those delineated in Groups I-III. This is found not persuasive, and the reasons are given *infra*.

Claims 1-9 are pending in the application. The scope of the invention of the elected subject matter is as follows.

Claims 1- 9, in part, drawn to processes of making compounds of formula (C), wherein the variables R^1 – R^3 independently do not represent a heteromonocyclic or heteropolycyclic group, the variables R^1 – R^3 independently are not substituted with a heteromonocyclic or heteropolycyclic group; and wherein the variable R^1 – R^{10} of starting material compounds of formula (A), (B), or (D) independently do not represent a heteromonocyclic or heteropolycyclic group, the variables R^1 – R^{10} of starting material compounds of formula (A), (B), or (D) independently are not substituted with a heteromonocyclic or heteropolycyclic group thereof.

The withdrawn processes of making comprising compounds of formula (C) contain varying heteromonocyclic or heteropolycyclic group of the variables R¹–R³ having indolyl, thiazole, azepane, or piperazine moiety, which differ from those of the elected invention having pyridyl moiety, which are chemically recognized to differ in structure and function. This recognized chemical diversity of the functional groups can be seen by the various classifications of these functional groups in the U.S. classification system, i.e., class 548 subclass 469(+) (indolyl), class 548 subclass 146(+) (thiazole), class 544 subclass (336+) (piperazine), class 540 subclass 484(+) (azepane), etc. Therefore, again, the compounds which are withdrawn from consideration as being for non-elected subject matter differ materially in common structure and have been restricted properly.

The group set forth in the claims includes both independent and distinct inventions, and patentably distinct compounds (or species) within each invention. However, this application discloses and claims a plurality of patentably distinct

Application/Control Number: 10/749,806

Art Unit: 1626

inventions far too numerous to list individually. Moreover, each of these inventions contains a plurality of patentably distinct compounds, also far too numerous to list individually. Moreover, the examiner must perform a commercial database search on the subject matter of each group in addition to a paper search, which is quite burdensome to the examiner.

Claims 1-9, in part, embraced in above elected subject matter, are prosecuted in the case. Claims 1-9, in part, <u>not</u> embraced in above elected subject matter, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for processes making compounds of formula (C) using a ruthenium compound obtained from an optically active nitrogen-containing compound (i.e., formula (D)) and a metal ruthenium, does not reasonably provide enablement for processes making compounds of formula (C) without limitation of an asymmetric metal complex and the metal selected from Group VIII of the periodic table, see claim 1, page 57, lines 15- 17. The specification does not enable any person skilled

in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Dependent claims 2-9 are also rejected along with claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

In *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (1988), factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been described. They are:

- 1. the nature of the invention,
- 2. the state of the prior art,
- 3. the predictability or lack thereof in the art,
- 4. the amount of direction or guidance present,
- 5. the presence or absence of working examples,
- 6. the breadth of the claims,
- 7. the quantity of experimentation needed, and
- 8. the level of the skill in the art.

In the instant case:

The nature of the invention

The nature of the invention is processes of making compounds of formula (C) using an asymmetric metal complex obtained from an optically active nitrogen-containing compound (i.e., formula (D)) and a metal, wherein the asymmetric metal complex and the metal of Group VIII is not limited.

The state of the prior art and the predictability or lack thereof in the art

The state of the prior art is that a similar metal complex having a nitrogencontaining compound and a metal Fe, see Zhang's US 6,380,392.

The amount of direction or guidance present and the presence or absence

of working examples

The only direction or guidance present in the instant specification is the ruthenium compounds on pages 18-42 of the specification. There is no data present in the instant specification for processes of making using an asymmetric metal complex other than ruthenium compounds.

The breadth of the claims

The instant breadth of the rejected claims is broader than the disclosure, specifically, the instant claims include any processes making compounds of formula (C) using an asymmetric metal complex, wherein the asymmetric metal complex and the metal of Group VIII is not limited.

The quantity or experimentation needed and the level of skill in the art

While the level of the skill in the chemical arts is high, it would require undue experimentation of one of ordinary skill in the art to resolve any asymmetric metal complex other than ruthenium compounds. It would also require undue experimentation to obtain any processes, wherein the asymmetric metal complex and the metal is not

Application/Control Number: 10/749,806

Art Unit: 1626

limited. The only guidance present in the instant specification is the processes of making compounds of formula (C) using an asymmetric metal complex, wherein the asymmetric metal complex is a ruthenium compound, see pages 18-42 of the specification. There is no guidance or working examples present for constitutional any processes using an asymmetric metal complex other than a ruthenium compound. Therefore, the claims lack enablement for all processes using any asymmetric metal complex other than ruthenium compounds. Replacement of the limitation "an asymmetric metal complex" with the limitation "a ruthenium compound", and replacement of the limitation "a metal of group VIII of the periodic table" with the limitation "a metal of ruthenium" would overcome this rejection.

Page 7

Claim Objections

- 6. Claims 1-9 are objected to as containing non-elected subject matter, i.e., heteromonocyclic or heteropolycyclic group, etc. It is suggested that applicants amend the claims to the scope of the elected subject matter as defined on the pages 2-3 *supra*.
- 7. Claims 1, 4-6, and 8-9 are objected to as containing symbols "(" or ")" for the limitation of variables R¹-R⁶, e.g., see claim 1, line 5. Replacement of the symbol "(" or ")" with a symbol "," would obviate the objection.
- 8. Claim 9 is objected to as containing a typographic error of the formula (E), see line 6. Replacement of the formula (E) with the formula (F) would obviate the objection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Shiao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0707. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Shiao, Ph.D. Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626

December 05, 2006